



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,558	09/08/2003	James Keast	70019.010400	3298
33717	7590 04/29/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			CHAN, KO HUNG	
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SU SANTA MONICA, CA 90404		`E 400E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3632	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/657,558	KEAST ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
		Korie H. Chan	3632				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period f r Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayed for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statication for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely bely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	September 2003.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Amarta	4(2)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)							
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	'atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 7 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 7 has two claim sections each ending in a period. It appears claim 7 should have been separated into two claims. Claim 8 depends from itself. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 5-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,405 to Keast et al (applicant) in view of Kristek (US patent no. 3,021,638). Keast disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for providing a shim with having a varying thickness. Kristek teaches providing a floor protector assembly with a shim (10) of varying thickness for compensating the leg which may be unstable

Art Unit: 3632

with respect to the floor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the floor protector of Keast with a shim of varying thickness as taught by Kristek for compensating unevenness of the floor.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 7, line 5, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Regarding claim 8, it is not clear what is meant by outer periphery of shim conforming to outer periphery of the main body portion. Such recitation appears indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or d scribed in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than on y ar prior to the date of application for patent in th United States.

0000

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hachmann (US patent no. 1,208,546). Hachmann discloses a floor protector assembly comprising a main body (10) having securing means (13), a removable floor protector retainer (16) attached to the main body having an upper wall (upper peripheral edge of 16), and an opening (20) through a bottom wall (15), a floor protector (19) mounted in the opening and retained therein, the floor protector having a portion (19) extending downwardly from and outwardly from the retainer beyond the bottom wall for engagement with the floor; wherein the cushioning material is felt (page 1, line 75); wherein at least one shim (14) mounted on the main body portion remote from the floor protector having a varying thickness therecross (note the tapered shaft of screw 14) wherein the outer periphery of the shim conforms to the outer periphery of the main body portion (both are curved or circular cross-sectioned).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining cited art demonstrated floor protectors of similar type.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Korie H. Chan **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3632

khc April 23, 2004